United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

May 16, 2022 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MCALLEN DIVISION

RICARDO VILLALON,	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
	§
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 7:20-CV-0264
	§
CITY OF MCALLEN,	§
	§
Defendant.	§

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Plaintiff Ricardo Villalon's civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which had been referred to the Magistrate Court for a report and recommendation. On April 7, 2022, the Magistrate Court issued the Report and Recommendation, recommending that Plaintiff's claims be **DISMISSED** pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). The time for filing objections has passed and no objections have been filed.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b), the Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation for clear error.⁴ Finding no clear error, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, Plaintiff's civil rights action is **DISMISSED** pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DONE at McAllen, Texas, this 16th day of May 2022.

Micaela Alvarez United States District Judge

¹ Dkt. No. 1.

² Dkt. No. 36.

 $^{^3}$ Id

⁴ As noted by the Fifth Circuit, "[t]he advisory committee's note to Rule 72(b) states that, '[w]hen no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." *Douglas v. United States Service Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 145, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996) (quoting Fed R. Civ. P. 72(b) advisory committee's note (1983)) *superseded by statute on other grounds by* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), *as stated in ACS Recovery Servs., Inc. v. Griffin*, No. 11-40446, 2012 WL 1071216, at *7 n. 5 (5th Cir. April 2, 2012).